

*Position Paper***Diagnosis of Periodontal Diseases\***

This position paper on the diagnosis of periodontal diseases was prepared by the Research, Science and Therapy Committee of the American Academy of Periodontology. It is intended for the information of the dental profession and other interested parties. The purpose of the paper is to provide the reader with a general overview of the important issues related to the diagnosis of periodontal diseases. It is not intended as a comprehensive review of the subject. *J Periodontol* 2003;74:1237-1247.

Plaque-induced periodontal diseases are mixed infections associated with relatively specific groups of indigenous oral bacteria.<sup>1-6</sup> Susceptibility to these diseases is highly variable and depends on host responses to periodontal pathogens.<sup>7-11</sup> Although bacteria cause plaque-induced inflammatory periodontal diseases, progression and clinical characteristics of these diseases are influenced by both acquired and genetic factors that can modify susceptibility to infection.<sup>12-15</sup>

**TRADITIONAL APPROACH TO DIAGNOSIS**

Despite our increased understanding of the etiology and pathogenesis of periodontal infections, the diagnosis and classification of these diseases is still based almost entirely on traditional clinical assessments.<sup>16,17</sup> To arrive at a periodontal diagnosis, the dentist must rely upon such factors as: 1) presence or absence of clinical signs of inflammation (e.g., bleeding upon probing); 2) probing depths; 3) extent and pattern of loss of clinical attachment and bone; 4) patient's medical and dental histories; and 5) presence or absence of miscellaneous signs and symptoms, including pain, ulceration, and amount of observable plaque and calculus.<sup>18-20</sup>

Plaque-induced periodontal diseases have traditionally been divided into two general categories based on whether attachment loss has occurred: gingivitis and periodontitis. Gingivitis is the presence of gingival inflammation without loss of connective tissue attachment.<sup>16</sup> Periodontitis can be defined as the presence of gingival inflammation at sites where there has been a pathological detachment of collagen fibers from cementum and the junctional epithelium has migrated apically. In addition, inflammatory events

associated with connective tissue attachment loss also lead to the resorption of coronal portions of tooth-supporting alveolar bone.<sup>16</sup>

This simple separation of plaque-induced periodontal diseases into two categories is not as clear-cut as it first appears. For example, if sites that have been successfully treated for periodontitis develop some gingival inflammation at a later date, do those sites have recurrent periodontitis or gingivitis superimposed on a reduced but stable periodontium? There are currently no data to definitively answer this question. However, since not all sites with gingivitis necessarily develop loss of attachment and bone,<sup>17</sup> it is reasonable to assume that gingivitis can occur on a reduced periodontium in which ongoing attachment loss is not occurring. A similar problem exists when the term "periodontitis" is assigned to sites with attachment loss and periodontal pockets in which ongoing periodontal destruction is not occurring.

Demonstration of the progression of periodontitis requires documentation of additional attachment loss occurring between at least two time points. Since this is not always possible, especially when a patient is examined for the first time, most clinicians assign the diagnosis of "periodontitis" to inflamed sites that also have loss of attachment and bone. This is a prudent practice since such sites may be either currently progressing or are at an increased risk for further periodontal destruction. Therefore, demonstration of progressive attachment loss is not generally considered to be a requirement for using "periodontitis" as a diagnostic label.

At the 1999 International Workshop for Classification of Periodontal Diseases and Conditions, a reclassification of the different forms of plaque-induced periodontal diseases was developed.<sup>21</sup> This revised classification includes seven general types of plaque-induced periodontal diseases: 1) gingivitis, 2) chronic

\* This paper was developed under the direction of the Research, Science and Therapy Committee and approved by the Board of Trustees of the American Academy of Periodontology in May 2003.

periodontitis, 3) aggressive periodontitis, 4) periodontitis as a manifestation of systemic diseases, 5) necrotizing periodontal diseases, 6) abscesses of the periodontium, and 7) periodontitis associated with endodontic lesions.<sup>21</sup> The major departures from the previous classification system are: 1) the term “chronic periodontitis” has replaced “adult periodontitis” and 2) the term “aggressive periodontitis” has replaced “early-onset periodontitis.” In the new classification system, depending on a variety of circumstances, all forms of periodontitis can progress rapidly or slowly and can be non-responsive to therapy. It was also acknowledged that gingivitis can develop on a reduced but stable periodontium.<sup>21</sup>

The above classification should not be confused with case types previously suggested by the American Academy of Periodontology for purposes of third-party insurance payments. The current case types for periodontal diseases include: gingivitis (Case Type I), mild periodontitis (Case Type II), moderate periodontitis (Case Type III), advanced periodontitis (Case Type IV), and refractory periodontitis (Case Type V).

## DIAGNOSTIC INFORMATION

Periodontal diagnoses are determined by analyzing the information collected during a periodontal examination. A decision is then made regarding the disease category that is most closely associated with the patient’s clinical status. The information routinely collected during a periodontal examination includes demographic data (e.g., age, gender, etc.), medical history, history of previous and current periodontal problems, periodontal probe measurements (i.e., probing depths, clinical attachment loss, etc.), radiographic findings, and miscellaneous clinical features or observations (e.g., gingival inflammation, plaque/calculus, mobility, occlusal problems). In some situations, supplemental qualitative or quantitative assessments of the gingival crevicular fluid (GCF) and subgingival microflora are performed. In addition, a genetic test for susceptibility to chronic periodontitis has become commercially available.<sup>16</sup>

It should be emphasized that, at the present time, supplemental information on GCF components, the subgingival microflora, and genetic susceptibility are not commonly used by practitioners in arriving at a diagnosis since the diagnostic utility of this information has not been validated. Indeed, genetic testing is primarily intended to assist in risk assessment and should not be considered a diagnostic test. In addition, testing for the presence of specific putative

pathogens in the subgingival flora might be useful in identifying a microbial target of periodontal therapy, but it does not provide information that is used in determining a periodontal diagnosis.

## SCIENTIFIC EVALUATION OF DIAGNOSTIC TESTS

Statistical validation of a potentially useful diagnostic test routinely involves use of a two-by-two decision matrix as shown in Figure 1. From such tables, the validity of a diagnostic or prognostic test can be estimated.<sup>22</sup> A diagnostic device or test is intended to detect the presence of a specified disease. Data collection to evaluate a diagnostic test frequently employs a cross-sectional sampling scheme, and the validity of the test can be estimated by calculating its sensitivity and specificity. These can only be determined in a cross-sectional study if the true disease status of the patient can be established from a single examination. This is the case for the presence or absence of periodontitis. The sensitivity of a diagnostic test refers to the probability of the test being positive when the disease is truly present. A perfect test would be able to detect the disease in all cases without registering a false negative. The sensitivity of such a perfect test would be 1.00. The specificity of a diagnostic test refers to the probability of the test being negative when the disease is not present. A perfect test would be able to correctly identify all instances in which the disease was absent without registering a false positive. The specificity of such a perfect test would be 1.00. However, in medicine and dentistry, perfect diagnostic tests do not exist. Therefore, a test’s sensitivity and specificity will always be less than 1.00. It is reasonable to expect that a clinically useful diagnostic test for periodontal diseases should have high values for both sensitivity and specificity. There are, however, no preset upper and lower limits of sensitivity and specificity values that determine if a diagnostic test is clinically useful. Furthermore, since sensitivity and specificity values are calculated in diseased or healthy populations, respectively, these values may be higher than calculations performed in a mixed population. In contrast, predictive values are calculated in a mixed population of diseased and healthy patients.

The positive predictive value of a test refers to the probability that the disease is present when the test is positive. The negative predictive value refers to the probability that the disease is absent when the test is negative. However, predictive values are influenced by the prevalence of disease in a population. Thus, in a periodontal practice where there are many patients



ment. Most of them are designed to provide information presumably associated with progressing periodontal lesions.

Supplemental diagnostic tests fall into four general categories. They can be used to detect the presence of: 1) substances associated with putative pathogens; 2) host-derived enzymes; 3) tissue breakdown products; or 4) inflammatory mediators.

Several strategies have been developed to detect substances associated with putative periodontopathogens.<sup>19</sup> They include DNA analyses,<sup>23-31</sup> assessment of antigenic profiles,<sup>32-41</sup> and enzymatic activities of certain members of the subgingival flora.<sup>42-52</sup> The general aim of all of these approaches is to detect the presence of potentially pathogenic bacteria in subgingival plaque samples. They have the advantage of not requiring the collection and preservation of viable bacteria. Most of these tests can reliably identify sites that harbor certain putative pathogens and thereby provide information about potential therapeutic targets. For example, if recently treated sites continue to harbor high levels of pathogens, then it is reasonable to conclude that additional therapy may be required. In such instances, the tests could be used to monitor or assess the endpoint or effectiveness of therapy with the ideal result being a negative test for the putative pathogens. One drawback of existing microbiologic tests that do not culture the bacteria is that they are designed to detect only a limited number of pathogens. They cannot distinguish between virulent and avirulent clones of putative pathogens. Another drawback is their inability to provide any information about the antibiotic sensitivities of the infecting bacteria. The only known way to determine antibiotic susceptibilities of suspected pathogens is by cultural analysis and sensitivity testing of the subgingival flora.<sup>53-56</sup>

An array of enzymes, tissue breakdown products, and inflammatory mediators are released from host cells and tissues during the development and progression of periodontal infections. Some of these substances have been suggested as possible markers for the detection of progressing periodontal lesions. A number of studies have been conducted with the general goal of devising chairside assays for markers of disease progression in GCF.<sup>19</sup> Host-derived enzymes that have received the most attention in this regard are: aspartate aminotransferase,<sup>57-63</sup> alkaline phosphatase,<sup>59,64-67</sup>  $\beta$ -glucuronidase,<sup>59,68-72</sup> elastase,<sup>59,73-83</sup> cathepsins,<sup>84-89</sup> and dipeptidyl peptidase.<sup>84-85,90</sup> Inflammatory mediators in GCF that might be associated with advancing periodontal lesions include prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub><sup>59,67,91-93</sup> and several

cytokines.<sup>19,72,93-104</sup> Tissue breakdown products in GCF that have been suggested as possible markers for progressing periodontal lesions include glycosaminoglycans<sup>105-110</sup> and several bone-associated proteins.<sup>59,67,111-116</sup>

Chairside tests for aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and nonspecific neutral proteinases have been developed. Dead and dying host cells release AST. Results from several longitudinal studies of chronic periodontitis patients in which increased clinical attachment loss was used as the criterion for disease progression, suggest that the GCF content of AST might serve as a site-specific marker for ongoing periodontal destruction.<sup>57-62</sup> Since AST is elevated at sites with either gingivitis or nonprogressing periodontitis, it remains to be established if its levels in GCF can distinguish between sites that are breaking down and those that are not.<sup>19</sup>

The other GCF assay for host enzymes is a test for non-specific neutral proteinases. These lysosomal enzymes are primarily derived from neutrophils and have been shown to be elevated in GCF from sites with advanced periodontitis.<sup>117-119</sup> This enzyme-detection system has not been longitudinally tested to determine if it can reliably detect sites at an increased risk for progression. Neither the AST nor nonspecific proteinase assays were originally marketed under the claim that they could detect progressing sites. They were simply sold as enzyme assays. It was left up to the clinician to decide if the elevation of AST or neutral proteinases in GCF had any clinical relevance. Neither test is currently commercially available.

Further development and clinical testing of certain GCF-based diagnostic tests are warranted in order to identify markers that are useful in identifying sites that are undergoing loss of periodontal attachment. Such tests could be used to detect sites that require additional treatment prior to, or during, the maintenance phase of therapy. They also could be of value in establishing optimal recall intervals for previously treated patients. For example, patients with persistently positive tests may require more frequent recall visits. In addition, patients who are in the most urgent need of treatment might be more easily identified through the use of such tests.

In a research environment, neutrophil function assays and tests for cell-surface receptors can provide potentially useful diagnostic information. For example, neutrophils from some patients with localized aggressive periodontitis (LAgP) exhibit faulty chemotaxis and abnormal bactericidal activity.<sup>9</sup> Molecular markers of LAgP include an abnormally

low number of chemoattractant receptors and an abnormal amount of another cell-surface glycoprotein designated GP-110.<sup>120,121</sup> On the other hand, patients with generalized aggressive periodontitis have normal numbers of GP-110 receptors.<sup>120,121</sup> It is probable that tests of this type that are suitable for use in clinical situations will eventually be developed. However, at the present time, such tests are not available for widespread clinical application.

The only host-based test for susceptibility to periodontitis that is currently available to practitioners is a genetic test for polymorphisms in the interleukin-1 (IL-1) gene cluster.<sup>15</sup> The IL-1 gene cluster includes IL-1A, IL-1B, and IL-1RN genes that code for IL-1 $\alpha$ , IL-1 $\beta$ , and the IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1ra) respectively. Approximately 30% of Caucasians are positive for a composite genotype of IL-1A and IL-1B polymorphisms consisting of allele 2 of both IL-1A + 4845 (or the concordant -889) and IL-1B + 3954.<sup>15</sup> People who carry this composite genotype may be at an increased risk of the following: bleeding upon probing,<sup>122</sup> severe chronic periodontitis,<sup>15</sup> tooth loss,<sup>123</sup> and reduced stability of gains of clinical attachment after guided tissue regeneration.<sup>124</sup> Presumably this is due to hypersecretion of IL-1 $\beta$  in response to inflammation-inducing stimuli.<sup>125</sup> In contrast, other studies have noted that the composite genotype cannot be used to identify patients that are predisposed to the following: tooth loss,<sup>126</sup> periodontitis,<sup>127</sup> attachment loss after therapy,<sup>128</sup> or increased secretion of IL-1 $\beta$ .<sup>129</sup> Since there is conflicting information in the literature, these concepts need further validation.

It should also be noted that the prevalence of the IL-1 composite genotype is very low in some populations. For example, in people of Chinese heritage only 2.3% are genotype-positive.<sup>130</sup> In addition, the IL-1 genotype associated with increased risk of severe chronic periodontitis does not appear to be a risk marker for aggressive forms of periodontitis.<sup>131,132</sup> Therefore, in certain populations, the test is of little or no value in establishing the risk for susceptibility to periodontitis. In conclusion, at present, how best to use this genetic test in clinical practice has not been established.

### ADVANCES IN TRADITIONAL DIAGNOSTIC METHODS

In clinical practice, conventional periodontal probes are widely used to obtain two important measurements: probing depth (PD) and clinical attachment loss (CAL). PD is defined as the distance from the gingival margin to the base of the probeable crevice.

CAL is the distance from the cemento-enamel junction to the base of the probeable crevice. Probing depth measurements are clinically important since they provide a useful overall assessment of the depth of periodontal pockets which are the principal habitats of periodontal pathogens. In addition, PD measurements can be rapidly recorded and give a good assessment of the distribution of periodontal problems within a given patient. They are an essential component of a complete periodontal examination.

CAL assessments on the other hand are more difficult to accurately measure, but they give a better overall estimate of the amount of damage to the periodontium than do PD measurements. In prospective studies, CAL measurements are the most valid method of assessing treatment outcomes.<sup>133</sup> Multiple studies indicate that, in the hands of experienced practitioners, CAL measurements taken with conventional periodontal probes at different visits are repeatable to within  $\pm 1$  mm more than 90% of the time.<sup>19,133</sup> Under clinical conditions, comparable repeatability values have been obtained with computer-linked, controlled-force electronic periodontal probes.<sup>19,133</sup> Electronic probes have the advantage of controlling insertion forces and automatically recording clinical information into a computer.<sup>19,133,134</sup> In addition to controlled insertion force, electronic probes have a better resolution than standard manual probes. This feature is important since it makes it theoretically feasible to detect smaller changes in clinical attachment levels than are possible with manual probes.<sup>135</sup> For example, in one study, untreated chronic periodontitis patients were examined over a 6-month period using a prototype of an automated probe which has an accuracy of 0.2 mm. It was found that if a threshold of 0.4 mm was used to indicate that a change in attachment level had occurred, the prevalence of sites that had progressed was 29% over the 6-month period. If a large threshold (i.e., 2.4 mm), comparable to that achievable with a manual probe was used, only 2% of the sites were determined to have experienced additional attachment loss.<sup>136</sup>

Manual (conventional) periodontal probes are highly satisfactory for the performance of routine periodontal examinations. Comparable results are obtained when either manual or electronic probes are used.<sup>19</sup> Some practitioners prefer electronic over conventional periodontal probes, especially because of the automatic data entry feature afforded by these devices. The main drawback of electronic probes is their tendency to underestimate PD and CAL measurements in untreated patients.<sup>19</sup> In such patients, the presence of subgingival calculus can interfere with probe inser-

tion. To minimize this problem, reproducibility of clinical measurements taken with controlled-force probes can be improved by using a “double-pass” method (i.e., measuring each site twice).<sup>19,137,138</sup> In treated patients, this reproducibility problem is not as great. Indeed, in treated patients, lower standard deviations of replicate single-pass clinical measurements have been obtained with controlled-force compared to conventional probes.<sup>139,140</sup>

In the past decade, many advances have been made in radiographic imaging methods for periodontal structures. Advanced direct digital (filmless) radiographic and computed tomographic techniques have been developed to the stage where they are already being used on a day-to-day basis by practitioners.<sup>141</sup> Intraoral radiographs, such as periapical films and vertical or horizontal bitewings, provide a considerable amount of information about the periodontium that cannot be obtained by any other non-invasive means. The information supplied by radiographs includes root length, root form, presence or absence of periapical lesions, root proximity, and estimates of remaining alveolar bone. Although valid periodontal diagnoses cannot be made from radiographs alone, they are an essential component of a complete periodontal examination.<sup>19</sup>

Conventionally read radiographs routinely underestimate the amount of bone loss.<sup>19,142,143</sup> In addition, sequentially taken radiographs, when examined by eye, are able to reveal changes in bone only after 30 to 50% of the bone mineral has been resorbed.<sup>135,141,144</sup> Subtraction radiography, on the other hand, allows detection of changes in bone density as low as 5%. Although subtraction radiography detects changes after they have occurred, it is possible with this technique to detect very small changes in alveolar bone that would go unnoticed with conventionally read films.<sup>135,136,141,145,146</sup>

Many of the logistical problems initially associated with subtraction radiography are being overcome. Software programs have been developed to correct for subtle differences in contrast, projection geometry, and other repeatability errors.<sup>141</sup> Standardization of film positioning and angulation can be achieved by using a cephalostat<sup>147</sup> or custom-made positioning devices.<sup>148</sup> Future development of subtraction radiography techniques promises to have a profound impact on the diagnosis of periodontal diseases. It is of interest that there is approximately an 80% concordance or agreement between probing and radiographic methods in identifying sites that have lost attachment.<sup>73,149,150</sup>

## SUMMARY

At the present time, the diagnosis and classification of periodontal diseases are almost entirely based on traditional clinical assessments. Supplemental quantitative and qualitative assessments of the gingival crevicular fluid and subgingival microflora can potentially provide useful information about the patient's periodontal disease. In certain situations, these supplemental risk-assessment tests may be particularly valuable in establishing the endpoint of therapy prior to placing patients on a periodontal maintenance program. Although the clinical utility of none of these tests has been validated, their further development is warranted. A genetic test for susceptibility to periodontitis has become commercially available. How best to use this and future host-based tests in clinical practice remains to be determined. Probing depth and clinical attachment loss measurements obtained with periodontal probes are practical and valid methods for assessing periodontal status. Computer-linked, controlled-force electronic periodontal probes are commercially available and are currently in use by some practitioners. Many of the logistical problems associated with subtraction radiography are being overcome and this powerful diagnostic tool may soon come into widespread use. Future developments in this and other imaging techniques are likely to have a profound effect on our approach to the diagnosis of periodontal diseases.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The primary author for this paper is Dr. Gary C. Armitage. Members of the Research, Science and Therapy Committee include: Drs. Terry D. Rees, Chair; Timothy Blieden; Gary Greenstein; Vincent J. Iacono; Joseph P. Fiorellini; Petros Damoulis; William V. Giannobile; Henry Greenwell; Angelo Mariotti; Richard Nagy; Barry D. Wagenberg, Board Liaison; Robert J. Genco, Consultant.

## REFERENCES

1. Socransky SS, Haffajee AD. Microbial mechanisms in the pathogenesis of destructive periodontal diseases: A critical assessment. *J Periodont Res* 1991;26:195-212.
2. Socransky SS, Haffajee AD. The bacterial etiology of destructive periodontal disease: Current concepts. *J Periodontol* 1992;63:322-331.
3. Moore WEC, Moore LVH. The bacteria of periodontal diseases. *Periodontol 2000* 1994;5:66-77.
4. Haffajee AD, Socransky SS. Microbial etiological agents of destructive periodontal diseases. *Periodontol 2000* 1994;5:78-111.
5. Socransky SS, Haffajee AD. Evidence of bacterial etiology: A historical perspective. *Periodontol 2000* 1994;5:

- 7-25.
6. Zambon JJ. Periodontal diseases: Microbial factors. *Ann Periodontol* 1996;1:879-925.
  7. Tonetti MS. Etiology and pathogenesis. *Proceedings of the 1st European Workshop on Periodontology*. Lang NP, Karring T, eds. Chicago: Quintessence Books; 1994: 54-89.
  8. Kornman KS, Page RC, Tonetti MS. The host response to the microbial challenge in periodontitis: Assembling the players. *Periodontol 2000* 1997;14:33-53.
  9. Dennison DK, Van Dyke TE. The acute inflammatory response and the role of phagocytic cells in periodontal health and disease. *Periodontol 2000* 1997;14:54-78.
  10. Ishikawa I, Nakashima K, Koseki T. Induction of the immune response to periodontopathic bacteria and its role in the pathogenesis of periodontitis. *Periodontol 2000* 1997;14:79-111.
  11. Offenbacher S. Periodontal diseases: Pathogenesis. *Ann Periodontol* 1996;1:821-878.
  12. American Academy of Periodontology. Diabetes and periodontal diseases (position paper). *J Periodontol* 1999;70:935-949.
  13. American Academy of Periodontology. The pathogenesis of periodontal diseases (informational paper). *J Periodontol* 1999;70:457-470.
  14. American Academy of Periodontology. Tobacco use and the periodontal patient (position paper). *J Periodontol* 1999;70:1419-1427.
  15. Kornman KS, Crane A, Wang H-Y, et al. The interleukin-1 genotype as a severity factor in adult periodontal disease. *J Clin Periodontol* 1997;24:72-77.
  16. Armitage GC. Clinical evaluation of periodontal diseases. *Periodontol 2000* 1995;7:39-53.
  17. Armitage GC. Periodontal diseases: Diagnosis. *Ann Periodontol* 1996;1:37-215.
  18. Lang NP, Joss A, Tonetti MS. Monitoring disease supportive periodontal treatment by bleeding on probing. *Periodontol 2000* 1996;12:44-48.
  19. Greenstein G. Contemporary interpretation of probing depth assessments: Diagnostic and therapeutic indications. *J Periodontol* 1997;68:1194-1205.
  20. Armitage GC. Diagnosing periodontal diseases and monitoring the response to periodontal therapy. In: *Perspectives on Oral Antimicrobial Therapeutics*. Littleton, MA: PSG Publishing Co.; 1987:47-60.
  21. Armitage GC. Development of a classification system for periodontal diseases and conditions. *Ann Periodontol* 1999;4:1-6.
  22. Greenstein G, Lamster I. Understanding diagnostic testing for periodontal diseases. *J Periodontol* 1995;66: 659-666.
  23. Haffajee AD, Socransky SS, Smith C, Dibart S. The use of DNA probes to examine the distribution of subgingival species in subjects with different levels of periodontal destruction. *J Clin Periodontol* 1992;19:84-91.
  24. Tay F, Liu YB, Flynn MJ, Slots J. Evaluation of a non-radioactive DNA probe for detecting *Porphyromonas gingivalis* in subgingival specimens. *Oral Microbiol Immunol* 1992;7:344-348.
  25. Yasui S, Kojima T, Hata S, Zhang YJ, Umeda M, Ishikawa I. Rapid identification of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* by bisulfite-modified DNA probe method. *J Periodont Res* 1993;28:98-101.
  26. Söder P-Ö, Jin LJ, Söder LJ. DNA probe detection of periodontopathogens in advanced periodontitis. *Scand J Dent Res* 1993;101:363-370.
  27. Shiloah J, Patters MR. DNA probe analysis of the survival of selected periodontal pathogens following scaling, root planing, and intra-pocket irrigation. *J Periodontol* 1994;65:568-575.
  28. Melvin WL, Assad DA, Miller GA, Gher ME, Simonson L, York AK. Comparison of DNA probe and ELISA microbial analysis methods and their association with adult periodontitis. *J Periodontol* 1994;65:576-582.
  29. Ali RW, Skaug N, Nilsen R, Bakken V. Microbial associations of 4 putative periodontal pathogens in Sudanese adult periodontitis patients determined by DNA probe analysis. *J Periodontol* 1994;65:1053-1057.
  30. DiRienzo JM, Slots J, Sixou M, Sol M-A, Harmon R, McKay TL. Specific genetic variants of *Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans* correlate with disease and health in a regional population of families with localized juvenile periodontitis. *Infect Immun* 1994;62:3058-3065.
  31. Tanner ACR, Maiden MFJ, Zambon JJ, Thoren GS, Kent RL Jr. Rapid chair-side DNA probe assay of *Bacteroides forsythus* and *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. *J Periodont Res* 1998;33:105-117.
  32. Riviere GR, Elliot KS, Adams DF, et al. Relative proportions of pathogen-related oral spirochetes (PROS) and *Treponema denticola* in supragingival and subgingival plaque from patients with periodontitis. *J Periodontol* 1992;63:131-136.
  33. Simonson LG, Robinson PJ, Pranger RJ, Cohen ME, Morton HE. *Treponema denticola* and *Porphyromonas gingivalis* as prognostic markers following periodontal treatment. *J Periodontol* 1992;63:270-273.
  34. Nisengard RJ, Mikulski L, McDuffie D, Bronson P. Development of a rapid latex agglutination test for periodontal pathogens. *J Periodontol* 1992;63:611-617.
  35. Wolff LF, Anderson L, Sandberg GP, et al. Bacterial concentration fluorescence immunoassay (BCFIA) for the detection of periodontopathogens in plaque. *J Periodontol* 1992;63:1093-1101.
  36. Wolff LF, Aeppli DM, Pihlstrom B, et al. Natural distribution of 5 bacteria associated with periodontal disease. *J Clin Periodontol* 1993;20:699-706.
  37. Wolff L, Dahlén G, Aeppli D. Bacteria as risk markers for periodontitis. *J Periodontol* 1994;65:498-510.
  38. Smith GLF. Diagnosis of periodontal disease activity by detection of key microbial antigens. *J Clin Periodontol* 1994;21:615-620.
  39. Riviere GR, Smith KS, Carranza N Jr., Tzagaroulaki E, Kay SL, Dock M. Subgingival distribution of *Treponema denticola*, *Treponema socranskii*, and pathogen-related oral spirochetes: Prevalence and relationship to periodontal status of sampled sites. *J Periodontol* 1995; 66:829-837.
  40. Snyder B, Ryerson CC, Corona H, et al. Analytical performance of an immunologic-based periodontal bacterial test for simultaneous detection and differentiation of *Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans*, *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, and *Prevotella intermedia*. *J Periodontol* 1996;67:497-505.
  41. Machtei EE, Dunford R, Hausmann E, et al. Longitu-

- dinal study of prognostic factors in established periodontitis patients. *J Clin Periodontol* 1997;24:102-109.
42. Eley BM, Cox SW. Correlation between gingivain/gingipain and bacterial dipeptidyl peptidase activity in gingival crevicular fluid and periodontal attachment loss in chronic periodontitis patients. A 2-year longitudinal study. *J Periodontol* 1996;67:703-716.
  43. Amalfitano J, De Filippo AB, Bretz WA, Loesche WJ. The effects of incubation length and temperature on the specificity and sensitivity of the BANA (N-benzoyl-DL-arginine naphthylamide) test. *J Periodontol* 1993;64:848-852.
  44. Bretz WA, Lopatin DE, Loesche WJ. Benzoyl-arginine naphthylamide (BANA) hydrolysis by *Treponema denticola* and/or *Bacteroides gingivalis* in periodontal plaques. *Oral Microbiol Immunol* 1990;5:275-279.
  45. Bretz WA, Eklund SA, Radicchi R, et al. The use of a rapid enzymatic assay in the field for the detection of infections associated with adult periodontitis. *J Public Health Dent* 1993;53:235-240.
  46. Drake CW, Hunt RJ, Beck JD, Zambon JJ. The distribution and interrelationship of *Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans*, *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, *Prevotella intermedia*, and BANA scores among older adults. *J Periodontol* 1993;64:89-94.
  47. Hemmings KW, Griffiths GS, Bulman JS. Detection of neutral protease (Periocheck) and BANA hydrolase (Perioscan) compared with traditional clinical methods of diagnosis and monitoring of chronic inflammatory periodontal disease. *J Clin Periodontol* 1997;24:110-114.
  48. Loesche WJ, Lopatin DE, Giordano J, Alcoforado G, Hujoel P. Comparison of the benzoyl-DL-arginine-naphthylamide (BANA) test, DNA probes, and immunological reagents for ability to detect anaerobic periodontal infections due to *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, *Treponema denticola*, and *Bacteroides forsythus*. *J Clin Microbiol* 1992;30:427-433.
  49. Loesche WJ, Kazor CE, Taylor GW. The optimization of the BANA test as a screening instrument for gingivitis among subjects seeking dental treatment. *J Clin Periodontol* 1997;24:718-726.
  50. Smith AJ, Wade WG, Greenman J, Addy M. Analysis of cultivable *Porphyromonas gingivalis* with trypsin-like protease enzyme activity and serum antibodies in chronic adult periodontitis. *Oral Dis* 1995;1:70-76.
  51. Travis J, Pike R, Imamura T, Potempa J. *Porphyromonas gingivalis* proteinases as virulence factors in the development of periodontitis. *J Periodont Res* 1997;32:120-125.
  52. Watson M-R, Bretz WA, Loesche WJ. Presence of *Treponema denticola* and *Porphyromonas gingivalis* in children correlated with periodontal disease of their parents. *J Dent Res* 1994;73:1636-1640.
  53. Slots J, Rams TE, Listgarten MA. Yeasts, enteric rods and pseudomonads in the subgingival flora of severe adult periodontitis. *Oral Microbiol Immunol* 1988;3:47-52.
  54. Rams TE, Feik D, Slots J. Staphylococci in human periodontal diseases. *Oral Microbiol Immunol* 1990;5:29-32.
  55. Walker CB, Gordon JM, Socransky SS. Antibiotic susceptibility testing of subgingival plaque samples. *J Clin Periodontol* 1983;10:422-432.
  56. Telsey B, Oshrain HI, Ellison SA. A simplified laboratory procedure to select an appropriate antibiotic for treatment of refractory periodontitis. *J Periodontol* 1986;57:325-327.
  57. Chambers DA, Imrey PB, Cohen RL, Crawford JM, Alves MEAF, McSwiggin TA. A longitudinal study of aspartate aminotransferase in human gingival crevicular fluid. *J Periodont Res* 1991;26:65-74.
  58. Magnusson I, Persson RG, Page RC, et al. A multi-center clinical trial of a new chairside test in distinguishing between diseased and healthy periodontal sites. II. Association between site type and test outcome before and after therapy. *J Periodontol* 1996;67:589-596.
  59. Nakashima K, Giannopoulou C, Andersen E, et al. A longitudinal study of various crevicular fluid components as markers of periodontal disease activity. *J Clin Periodontol* 1996;23:832-838.
  60. Persson GR, De Rouen TA, Page RC. Relationship between gingival crevicular fluid levels of aspartate aminotransferase and active tissue destruction in treated chronic periodontitis patients. *J Periodont Res* 1990;25:81-87.
  61. Persson GR, Page RC. Diagnostic characteristics of crevicular fluid aspartate aminotransferase (AST) levels associated with periodontal disease activity. *J Clin Periodontol* 1992;19:43-48.
  62. Persson GR, Alves MEAF, Chambers DA, et al. A multicenter clinical trial of PerioGard in distinguishing between diseased and healthy periodontal sites. *J Clin Periodontol* 1995;22:794-803.
  63. Wong M-Y, Lu C-L, Liu C-M, Hou L-T, Chang W-K. Relationship of the subgingival microbiota to a chairside test for aspartate aminotransferase in gingival crevicular fluid. *J Periodontol* 1999;70:57-62.
  64. Chapple ILC, Matthews JB, Thorpe GH, Glenwright HD, Smith JM, Saxby MS. A new ultrasensitive chemiluminescent assay for the site-specific quantification of alkaline phosphatase in gingival crevicular fluid. *J Periodont Res* 1993;28:266-273.
  65. Chapple ILC, Glenwright HD, Matthews JB, Thorpe GHG, Lumley PJ. Site-specific alkaline phosphatase levels in gingival crevicular fluid in health and gingivitis: Cross-sectional studies. *J Clin Periodontol* 1994;21:409-414.
  66. Chapple ILC, Socransky SS, Dibart S, Glenwright HD, Matthews JB. Chemiluminescent assay of alkaline phosphatase in human gingival crevicular fluid: Investigations with an experimental gingivitis model and studies on the source of the enzyme within crevicular fluid. *J Clin Periodontol* 1996;23:587-594.
  67. Nakashima K, Roehrich N, Cimasoni G. Osteocalcin, prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> and alkaline phosphatase in gingival crevicular fluid: Their relations to periodontal status. *J Clin Periodontol* 1994;21:327-333.
  68. Lamster IB, Oshrain RL, Harper DS, Celenti RS, Hovliaras CA, Gordon JM. Enzyme activity in crevicular fluid for detection and prediction of clinical attachment loss in patients with chronic adult periodontitis: Six-month results. *J Periodontol* 1988;59:516-523.
  69. Lamster IB, Oshrain RL, Celenti RS, Fine JB, Grbic JT. Indicators of the acute inflammatory and humoral immune responses in gingival crevicular fluid: Relationship to active periodontal disease. *J Periodont Res*

- 1991;26:261-263.
70. Lamster IB, Holmes LG, Gross KBW, et al. The relationship of  $\beta$ -glucuronidase activity in crevicular fluid to clinical parameters of periodontal disease. Findings from a multicenter study. *J Clin Periodontol* 1994;21:118-127.
  71. Lamster IB, Holmes LG, Gross KBW, et al. The relationship of  $\beta$ -glucuronidase activity in crevicular fluid to probing attachment loss in patients with adult periodontitis. Findings from a multicenter study. *J Clin Periodontol* 1995;22:36-44.
  72. Chung RM, Grbic JT, Lamster IB. Interleukin-8 and  $\beta$ -glucuronidase in gingival crevicular fluid. *J Clin Periodontol* 1997;24:146-152.
  73. Palcanis KG, Larjava IK, Wells BR, et al. Elastase as an indicator of periodontal disease progression. *J Periodontol* 1992;63:237-242.
  74. Armitage GC, Jeffcoat MK, Chadwick DE, et al. Longitudinal evaluation of elastase as a marker for the progression of periodontitis. *J Periodontol* 1994;65:120-128.
  75. Gustafsson A, Åsman B, Bergström K, Söder P-Ö. Granulocyte elastase in gingival crevicular fluid. A possible discriminator between gingivitis and periodontitis. *J Clin Periodontol* 1992;19:535-540.
  76. Gustafsson A, Åsman B, Bergström K. Altered relation between granulocyte elastase and  $\alpha$ -2-macroglobulin in gingival crevicular fluid from sites with periodontal destruction. *J Clin Periodontol* 1994;21:17-21.
  77. Ingman T, Könönen M, Kottinen YT, Siirlä HS, Suomalainen K, Sorsa T. Collagenase, gelatinase and elastase activities in sulcular fluid of osseointegrated implants and natural teeth. *J Clin Periodontol* 1994;21:301-307.
  78. Alavi AL, Palmer RM, Odell EW, Coward PY, Wilson RF. Elastase in gingival crevicular fluid from smokers and non-smokers with chronic inflammatory periodontal disease. *Oral Dis* 1995;1:110-114.
  79. Jin LJ, Söder P-Ö, Åsman B, Bergström K. Granulocyte elastase in gingival crevicular fluid: Improved monitoring of the site-specific response to treatment in patients with destructive periodontitis. *J Clin Periodontol* 1995;22:240-246.
  80. Meyer J, Guessous F, Huynh C, et al. Active and  $\alpha$ -1 proteinase inhibitor complexed leukocyte elastase levels in crevicular fluid from patients with periodontal diseases. *J Periodontol* 1997;68:256-261.
  81. Murray MC, Mooney J, Kinane DF. The relationship between elastase and lactoferrin in healthy, gingivitis and periodontitis sites. *Oral Dis* 1995;1:106-109.
  82. Smith QT, Harriman L, Au GS, et al. Neutrophil elastase in crevicular fluid: Comparison of a middle-aged general population with healthy and periodontitis groups. *J Clin Periodontol* 1995;22:935-941.
  83. Uitto VJ, Nieminen A, Coil J, Hurttia H, Larjava H. Oral fluid elastase as an indicator of periodontal health. *J Clin Periodontol* 1996;23:30-37.
  84. Eley BM, Cox SW. Cathepsin B/L-, elastase-, trypsin-, trypsin-, and dipeptidyl peptidase IV-like activities in gingival crevicular fluid: Correlation with clinical parameters in untreated chronic periodontitis patients. *J Periodont Res* 1992;27:62-69.
  85. Eley BM, Cox SW. Cathepsin B/L-, elastase-, trypsin-, trypsin-, and dipeptidyl peptidase IV-like activities in gingival crevicular fluid: A comparison of levels before and after periodontal surgery in chronic periodontitis patients. *J Periodontol* 1992;63:412-417.
  86. Eley BM, Cox SW. The relationship between gingival crevicular fluid cathepsin B activity and periodontal attachment loss in chronic periodontitis patients: A 2-year longitudinal study. *J Periodont Res* 1996;31:381-392.
  87. Kunimatsu K, Mine N, Kato I, Hase T, Aoki Y, Yamamoto K. Possible functions of human neutrophil serine proteinases, medullasin and cathepsin G, in periodontal tissue breakdown. *J Periodont Res* 1993;28:547-549.
  88. Kunimatsu K, Mine N, Muraoka Y, et al. Identification and possible function of cathepsin G in gingival crevicular fluid from chronic adult periodontitis patients and from experimental gingivitis subjects. *J Periodont Res* 1995;30:51-57.
  89. Tervahartiala T, Kontinen YT, Ingman T, Häyrynen-Immonen R, Ding Y, Sorsa T. Cathepsin G in gingival tissue and crevicular fluid in adult periodontitis. *J Clin Periodontol* 1996;23:68-75.
  90. Eley BM, Cox SW. Correlation between gingival crevicular fluid dipeptidyl peptidase II and IV activity and periodontal attachment loss. A 2-year longitudinal study in chronic periodontitis patients. *Oral Dis* 1995;1:201-213.
  91. Offenbacher S, Odle BM, Van Dyke TE. The use of crevicular fluid prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> levels as a predictor of periodontal attachment loss. *J Periodont Res* 1986;21:101-112.
  92. Nelson SL, Hynd BA, Pickrum HM. Automated enzyme immunoassay to measure prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> in gingival crevicular fluid. *J Periodont Res* 1992;27:143-148.
  93. Alexander DCC, Martin JC, King PJ, Powell JR, Caves J, Cohen ME. Interleukin-1 beta, prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub>, and immunoglobulin G subclasses in gingival crevicular fluid in patients undergoing periodontal therapy. *J Periodontol* 1996;67:755-762.
  94. Geivelis M, Turner DW, Pederson ED, Lamberts BL. Measurements of interleukin-6 in gingival crevicular fluid from adults with destructive periodontal disease. *J Periodontol* 1993;64:980-983.
  95. Payne JB, Reinhardt RA, Masada MP, DuBois LM, Allison AC. Gingival crevicular fluid IL-8: Correlation with local IL-1 $\beta$  levels and patient estrogen status. *J Periodont Res* 1993;28:451-453.
  96. Reinhardt RA, Masada MP, Kaldahl WB, et al. Gingival fluid IL-1 and IL-6 levels in refractory periodontitis. *J Clin Periodontol* 1993;20:225-231.
  97. Reinhardt RA, Masada MP, Johnson GK, DuBois LM, Seymour GJ, Allison AC. IL-1 in gingival crevicular fluid following closed root planing and papillary flap debridement. *J Clin Periodontol* 1993;20:514-519.
  98. Preiss DS, Meyle J. Interleukin-1 $\beta$  concentration of gingival crevicular fluid. *J Periodontol* 1994;65:423-428.
  99. Reinhardt RA, Masada MP, Payne JB, Allison AC,

- DuBois LM. Gingival fluid IL-1 $\beta$  and IL-6 levels in menopause. *J Clin Periodontol* 1994;21:22-25.
100. Hou L-T, Liu C-M, Rossomando EF. Crevicular interleukin-1 $\beta$  in moderate and severe periodontitis patients and the effect of phase I periodontal treatment. *J Clin Periodontol* 1995;22:162-167.
  101. Guillot JL, Pollock SM, Johnson RB. Gingival interleukin-6 concentration following phase I therapy. *J Periodontol* 1995;66:667-672.
  102. Tsai C-C, Ho Y-P, Chen C-C. Levels of interleukin-1 $\beta$  and interleukin-8 in gingival crevicular fluids in adult periodontitis. *J Periodontol* 1995;66:852-859.
  103. Lee H-J, Kang I-K, Chung C-P, Choi S-M. The subgingival microflora and gingival crevicular fluid cytokines in refractory periodontitis. *J Clin Periodontol* 1995;22:885-890.
  104. Mathur A, Michalowicz B, Castillo M, Aeppli D. Interleukin-1 alpha, interleukin-8 and interferon-alpha levels in gingival crevicular fluid. *J Periodont Res* 1996;31:489-495.
  105. Giannobile WV, Riviere GR, Gorski JP, Tira DE, Cobb CM. Glycosaminoglycans and periodontal disease: Analysis of GCF by safranin O. *J Periodontol* 1993;64:186-190.
  106. Samuels RHA, Pender N, Last KS. The effects of orthodontic tooth movement on the glycosaminoglycan component of gingival crevicular fluid. *J Clin Periodontol* 1993;20:371-377.
  107. Embery G, Waddington R. Gingival crevicular fluid: Biomarkers of periodontal tissue activity. *Adv Dent Res* 1994;8:329-336.
  108. Okazaki J, Kamada A, Matsukawa F, Sakaki T, Gonda Y. Disaccharide analysis of chondroitin sulphate in human gingival crevicular fluid using high-performance liquid chromatography. *Arch Oral Biol* 1995;40:777-779.
  109. Smith AJ, Addy M, Embery G. Gingival crevicular fluid glycosaminoglycan levels in patients with chronic adult periodontitis. *J Clin Periodontol* 1995;22:355-361.
  110. Waddington RJ, Langley MS, Guida L, et al. Relationship of sulphated glycosaminoglycans in human gingival crevicular fluid with active periodontal disease. *J Periodont Res* 1996;31:168-170.
  111. Bowers MR, Fisher LW, Termine JD, Somerman MJ. Connective tissue-associated proteins in crevicular fluid: Potential markers for periodontal diseases. *J Periodontol* 1989;60:448-451.
  112. Talonpoika JT, Hämäläinen MM. Collagen III aminoterminal propeptide in gingival crevicular fluid before and after periodontal treatment. *Scand J Dent Res* 1992;100:107-110.
  113. Talonpoika JT, Hämäläinen MM. Collagen I carboxyterminal propeptide in human gingival crevicular fluid before and after periodontal treatment. *Scand J Dent Res* 1993;101:154-158.
  114. Talonpoika JT, Hämäläinen MM. Type I collagen carboxyterminal telopeptide in human gingival crevicular fluid in different clinical conditions and after periodontal treatment. *J Clin Periodontol* 1994;21:320-326.
  115. Kunimatsu K, Mataka S, Tanaka H, et al. A cross-sectional study on osteocalcin levels in gingival crevicular fluid from periodontal patients. *J Periodontol* 1993;64:865-869.
  116. Giannobile WV, Lynch SE, Denmark RG, Paquette DW, Fiorellini JP, Williams RC. Crevicular fluid osteocalcin and pyridinoline cross-linked carboxyterminal telopeptide of type I collagen (ICTP) as markers of rapid bone turnover in periodontitis. A pilot study in beagle dogs. *J Clin Periodontol* 1995;22:903-910.
  117. Bowers JE, Zahradnik RT. Evaluation of a chairside gingival protease test for use in periodontal diagnosis. *J Clin Dent* 1989;1:106-109.
  118. Bowers JE, Hawley CE, Romberg E. A clinical test for proteolytic enzymes in gingival crevicular fluid: Comparison with periodontal probing depth and bleeding on probing. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent* 1991;11:411-422.
  119. Bader HI, Boyd RL. Long-term monitoring of adult periodontitis patients in supportive periodontal therapy: Correlation of gingival crevicular fluid proteases with probing attachment loss. *J Clin Periodontol* 1999;26:99-105.
  120. Van Dyke TE, Wilson-Burrows C, Offenbacher S, Henson P. Association of an abnormality of neutrophil chemotaxis in human periodontal disease with a cell surface protein. *Infect Immun* 1987;55:2262-2267.
  121. Van Dyke TE, Warbington M, Gardner M, Offenbacher S. Neutrophil surface protein markers as indicators of defective chemotaxis in LJP. *J Periodontol* 1990;61:180-184.
  122. Lang NP, Tonetti MS, Suter J, et al. Effect of interleukin-1 gene polymorphisms on gingival inflammation assessed by bleeding on probing in a periodontal maintenance population. *J Periodont Res* 2000;35:102-107.
  123. McGuire MK, Nunn ME. Prognosis versus actual outcome. IV. The effectiveness of clinical parameters and IL-1 genotype in accurately predicting prognoses and tooth survival. *J Periodontol* 1999;70:49-56.
  124. De Sanctis M, Zucchelli G. Interleukin-1 gene polymorphisms and long-term stability following guided tissue regeneration therapy. *J Periodontol* 2000;71:606-613.
  125. Pociot F, Molvig J, Wogensen L, et al. A Taq 1 polymorphism in the human interleukin-1 beta (IL-1 $\beta$ ) gene correlates with secretions in vitro. *Eur J Clin Invest* 1992;22:396-402.
  126. Cattabriga M, Rotundo R, Muzzi L, Nieri M, Verrocchi G, et al. Retrospective evaluation of the influence of the interleukin-1 genotype on radiographic bone levels in treated periodontal patients over 10 years. *J Periodontol* 2001;72:767-773.
  127. Papapanou PN, Neiderud AM, Sandros J, Dahlen G. Interleukin-1 gene polymorphism and periodontal status. A case-control study. *J Clin Periodontol* 2001;28:389-396.
  128. Ehmke B, Kress W, Karch H, Grimm T, Klaiber B, Flemmig TF. Interleukin-1 haplotype and periodontal disease progression following therapy. *J Clin Periodontol* 1999;26:810-813.
  129. Mark LL, Haffajee AD, Socransky SS, et al. Effect of the interleukin-1 genotype on monocyte IL-1 $\beta$  expression in subjects with adult periodontitis. *J Periodont Res*

- 2000;35:1172-1177.
130. Armitage GC, Wu Y, Wang H-Y, Sorrell J, di Giovine FS, Duff GS. Low prevalence of a periodontitis-associated interleukin-1 composite genotype in individuals of Chinese heritage. *J Periodontol* 2000;71:164-171.
  131. Diehl SR, Wang YF, Brooks CN, et al. Linkage disequilibrium of interleukin-1 genetic polymorphisms with early-onset periodontitis. *J Periodontol* 1999;70:418-430.
  132. Walker SJ, Van Dyke TE, Rich S, Kornman KS, di Giovine FS, Hart TC. Genetic polymorphisms of the IL-1 $\alpha$  and IL-1 $\beta$  genes in African-American LJP patients and an African-American control population. *J Periodontol* 2000;71:723-728.
  133. Armitage GC. Manual periodontal probing in supportive periodontal treatment. *Periodontol 2000* 1996;12:33-39.
  134. Magnusson I. Computerized periodontal probing. *Periodontol 2000* 1996;12:40-43.
  135. Jeffcoat MK, Reddy MS. A comparison of probing and radiographic methods for detection of periodontal disease progression. *Curr Opin Dent* 1991;1:45-51.
  136. Jeffcoat MK, Reddy MS. Progression of probing attachment loss in adult periodontitis. *J Periodontol* 1991;62:185-189.
  137. Osborn J, Stoltenberg J, Huso B, Aeppli D, Pihlstrom B. Comparison of measurement variability using a standard and constant force periodontal probe. *J Periodontol* 1990;61:497-503.
  138. Osborn JB, Stoltenberg JL, Huso BA, Aeppli DM, Pihlstrom BL. Comparison of measurement variability in subjects with moderate periodontitis using a conventional and constant force periodontal probe. *J Periodontol* 1992;63:283-289.
  139. Yang MCK, Marks RG, Magnusson I, Clouser B, Clark WB. Reproducibility of an electronic probe in relative attachment level measurements. *J Clin Periodontol* 1992;19:541-548.
  140. Rams TE, Slots J. Comparison of two pressure-sensitive periodontal probes and a manual periodontal probe in shallow and deep pockets. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent* 1993;13:521-529.
  141. Jeffcoat MK, Wang I-C, Reddy MS. Radiographic diagnosis in periodontics. *Periodontol 2000* 1995;7:54-68.
  142. Albandar JM. Validity and reliability of alveolar bone level measurements made on dry skulls. *J Clin Periodontol* 1989;16:575-579.
  143. Åkesson L, Håkansson J, Rohlin M. Comparison of panoramic and intraoral radiography and pocket probing for the measurement of the marginal bone level. *J Clin Periodontol* 1992;19:326-332.
  144. Ortman LF, McHenry K, Hausmann E. Relationship between alveolar bone measured by <sup>125</sup>I absorptiometry with analysis of standardized radiographs. 2. Bjorn technique. *J Periodontol* 1982;53:311-314.
  145. Reddy M. Radiographic methods in the evaluation of periodontal therapy. *J Periodontol* 1992;63:1078-1084.
  146. Jeffcoat MK, Reddy MS. Digital subtraction radiography for longitudinal assessment of peri-implant bone change: Method and validation. *Adv Dent Res* 1993;7:196-201.
  147. Jeffcoat MK, Reddy MS, Webber RL, Williams RC, Ruttimann UE. Extraoral control of geometry for digital subtraction radiography. *J Periodont Res* 1987;22:396-402.
  148. Hausmann E, Christersson L, Dunford R, Wikesjö U, Phyo J, Genco RJ. Usefulness of subtraction radiography in the evaluation of periodontal therapy. *J Periodontol* 1985;56(Suppl.):4-7.
  149. Jeffcoat MK. Radiographic methods for the detection of progressive alveolar bone loss. *J Periodontol* 1992;63:367-372.
  150. Hausmann E, Allen K, Norderyd J, Ren W, Shibly O, Machtei E. Studies on the relationship between changes in radiographic bone height and probing attachment. *J Clin Periodontol* 1994;21:128-132.

Individual copies of this paper may be obtained by accessing the Academy's Web site at <http://www.perio.org>. Members of the American Academy of Periodontology have permission of the Academy as copyright holder, to reproduce up to 150 copies of this document for not-for-profit, educational purpose only. For information on reproduction of the document for any other use or distribution, please contact Managing Editor Julie Daw at the Academy Central Office; voice: 312/573-3224; fax: 312/573-3225; e-mail: [julie@perio.org](mailto:julie@perio.org).